# Linn County SEXUAL INCIDENT RESPONSE SYSTEM

~ LEVEL 1 PROTOCOL ~

(EDITION January 2019)

## LEVEL 1 OUTCOME

(To be completed at the end of the Level 1 Investigation)

Disposition	Date	Administrator Name/Title
Referred to Law Enforcement		
Dismissed		
Developed Supervision Plan		
Developed Plan to Protect a Targeted Victim		
Referred to Level 2 (see criteria)		
Other		
Notes:		

- This system is designed to examine sexual incidents that include problematic sexual behavior. It is not designed for use with students who are suicidal, engaging in threatening/violent behavior or who are misusing fire, unless they are doing so as part of a sexual act. (If a suicide assessment, threat assessment or fire-misuse assessment is needed, please consult the Linn County Mental Health at 541.967.3866 or Benton County Mental Health at 541.766.6835.
- Consult the flow chart below to determine the course of assessment. If a Level 1 Incident Assessment is indicated, proceed with the attached Protocol and step-by-step instructions.



UPON DISCOVERY OF THE INCIDENT, Law Enforcement SHOULD BE INFORMED. IF THE EVENT IS FOUND TO BE ILLEGAL, REPORT TO LEVEL OFFICES, AND FOLLOW DISTRICT PROTOCOL GUIDELINES.

## Level 1 to be considered by Administrator & Counselor or SRO

## Guidelines for consideration of Level 1 (any of the following):

- 1. Sexual incident occurs at school.
- School staff is informed about concerning sexual behavior occurring in school or community.
- 3. Sexual behavior is causing disruption to school activity.
- 4. There is a history of sexually inappropriate behavior.
- 5. Staff, parent, or students perceive the sexual incident as unusual, odd, or inappropriate.
- 6. Administrator is unable to assert that the concern is unfounded.

#### **Concern Addressed**

### Level 1 Protocol completed by Site Team

#### **Steps 1-3:**

Demographics and assessment.

#### Step 4:

Use supervision strategies to address concerns. Determine if Level 2 is needed by using suggested criteria.

#### Step 5:

(After completing Level 1) email Mark Summers at mark.summers@lblesd.k12.or.us

#### Step 6:

File SIRC paperwork copies as per district procedure.

#### - IMPORTANT -

Maintain two copies of the Level 1: One in a letter-size manila envelope marked "Confidential" placed in the student's regular academic or cumulative file and a second copy in a working file in the administrator's (case manager's) office.

## THIS PROTOCOL IS ONLY TO BE USED BY STAFF WHO HAVE BEEN TRAINED THROUGH THE LEVEL 1 ASSESSMENT PROCESS. READ AT THE START OF EVERY LEVEL 1 MEETING.

The results of this survey do not predict or diagnose sexual deviance, nor are they designed to assess an individual's or group's risk of harm to others. This survey is not a checklist that can be quantified. It is a guide designed to assist Level 1 teams in making a determination regarding whether the sexual incident in question is normative or non-normative and to assist the school staff in the development of a management plan. This guide is not intended to serve as an investigation of potential danger and should not be employed for the purpose of identifying circumstances and variables that may increase risk for potential problematic sexual behavior or criminal sexual misconduct. Furthermore, as additional information about a sexual incident is revealed, so may perceptions about the seriousness of the incident change. If you are reviewing this survey at a date after the assessment completion, do so while being mindful of supervision, intervention, and the passage of time.

Complete the following survey through the Site Team Investigation using the noted step-by-step instructions. The Site Team is composed of the following:

- Administrator (Discipline AP or Principal)
- Counselor
- Law Enforcement
- School Resource Officer (SRO)
- Educators or other people who know the student / students
- LBLESD Behavior Consultant

- Parents, whenever possible If parents are unable or unwilling to attend, conduct the Parent Interview
- Campus Monitor if possible
- Case Manager/Probation Officer if adjudicated or ward of the Court

Many cases can be managed through a Level 1 Assessment with appropriate interventions. The assessment usually takes from 20 to 45 minutes and is a way of documenting concerns and management strategies. It is also a way to determine if there is a need to request a more extensive Level 2 Assessment by staff that specializes in Sexual Misconduct investigation (Step 4). If consultation is needed regarding the Level 1 or Level 2 process, please email Mark Summers at mark.summers@lblesd.k12.or.us

### LEVEL 1 ASSESSMENT

STEP 1: MAKE SURE ALL STUDENTS / STAFF ARE SAFE
☐ If necessary take appropriate precautions such as detaining the student and restricting access to coats, backpacks, lockers, etc.
IF <i>IMMINENT</i> DANGER EXISTS CALL LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND FOLLOW THE DISTRICT SAFETY GUIDELINES.
☐ Notification to parent / guardian of identified targeted student(s) as outlined in district policy.
STEP 2: COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:
☐ The parent / guardian has been notified that this assessment is being done. ☐ The parent / guardian has not been notified of this meeting because:
Parent interview completed, if parent cannot attend (see Sexual Incident Response System Guide).  Parents decline to participate in meeting or interview.
SCHOOL:SCHOOL PHONE #:TODAY'S DATE:
ADMINISTRATOR/CASE MANAGER:
DATE OF INCIDENT:STUDENT NAME:
DOB: AGE: GRADE: GENDER:
☐ IEP or ☐ 504 If IEP, Eligibility Code(s):Self Contained Placement ☐ Yes ☐ No

SIRC Program Protocols developed by John Van Dreal & Shelley Rutledge at Salem-Keizer Public Schools, and Wilson Kenney.

THESE PROTOCOLS ARE ONLY FOR USE BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT HAVE STAFF TRAINED IN THE SIRC LEVEL 1 PROTOCOL

ASSESSMENT PROCESS

STEP 3: ASSESSMENT – DISCUSS, INVESTIGATE, AND DOCUMENT
Each question is a prompt for exploration of the nature of the sexual incident. Please note concerns by each item or under other concerns Review the questions below as an outline for a guided conversation investigating the nature of the sexual incident in question.
Was a report filed with Law Enforcement?   No   Yes Officer/Deputy
☐ Not applicable (historical incident / previous police contact / no current legal concern)
Was event determined to be criminal by Law Enforcement? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Not applicable
Describe details of sexual incident (please be concrete and objective in your description):
PEER TO PEER
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills?    No
Note: if individuals differ in regard to age, development or cognitive capacity by three or more years, or if one or more of the individuals involved in the sexual incident are physically incapacitated, the incident in question may represent a concerning power imbalance that warrants further scrutiny.
HISTORICAL DATA
(Gathered via Law Enforcement investigation and File Review)
2. Is there a known history of previous problematic sexual behavior?  ☐ No ☐ Yes, if yes describe:
Note: Previous problematic sexually behavior suggests that a pattern of maladaptive sexual behavior may be present.
3. Has the student involved in the sexual incident been previously censured, disciplined, or placed on a behavior/safety plan for sexually inappropriate behavior?   No Yes, if yes describe:
Note: Continuing inappropriate sexual behavior in response to censure may suggest a more serious concern regarding problematic sexual behavior that may warrant closer scrutiny.
<ul> <li>4. Is there any evidence that the student has been exposed to inappropriate sexual content or behavior?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes, if yes describe:</li> <li>Note: Research suggests that developmentally premature or inappropriate exposure may play a role in the</li> </ul>
development of problematic sexual behavior.

5. Do all parties involved in the sexual incident (when spoken to separately) agree upon the details of the incident?
No Yes, if no describe:
Note: disagreement may reflect dishonesty and the need of one of the members to conceal the degree to which they instigated the sexual incident or attempted to hide its discovery.
6. Were coercion, violence, threats, force, manipulation, gifts, and/or privileges used by one or more parties as a strategy to facilitate compliance with the sexual incident or maintain secrecy?  No Yes, if yes describe:
Note: coercion indicates that at least one of the parties involved in the sexual incident put undue pressure
on at least one of the other parties, suggesting that further scrutiny is warranted. Pay particularly close attention to any attempt/effort made by any party to maintain secrecy regarding the incident as this speaks to the degree to which the individual had knowledge that the sexual incident was inappropriate.
7. Was the sexual behavior consistent with developmentally normative/common sexual conduct (refer to Developmentally Normative/Common Sexual Conduct Form)?  No Yes, if no describe:
Note: developmentally atypical sexual behavior may suggest problematic or concerning sexual development that warrants further scrutiny.
8. Did the sexual incident cause physical or emotional pain or discomfort to any of the involved parties?  ☐ No ☐ Yes, if yes describe:
Note: sexual behavior that causes emotional, physical pain and/or psychological distress to others suggests that the event in question was harmful and should be examined with further scrutiny.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
suggests that the event in question was harmful and should be examined with further scrutiny.  9. What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?
suggests that the event in question was harmful and should be examined with further scrutiny.  9. What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?
suggests that the event in question was harmful and should be examined with further scrutiny.  9. What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?  Describe:  Note: Poor insight, deceptiveness, lack of empathy and minimization may suggest the need for more
9. What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?  Describe:  Note: Poor insight, deceptiveness, lack of empathy and minimization may suggest the need for more intensive intervention than when these areas are not compromised.  10. Was there an obvious imbalance in power (difference in physical strength, social hierarchy or access to opportunity/resources) among the individuals involved in the sexual incident?
9. What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?  Note: Poor insight, deceptiveness, lack of empathy and minimization may suggest the need for more intensive intervention than when these areas are not compromised.  10. Was there an obvious imbalance in power (difference in physical strength, social hierarchy or access to opportunity/resources) among the individuals involved in the sexual incident?  No Yes, if yes describe:

12. Was a weapon ☐ No ☐ Yes, if	present during the yes describe:		ncident?
limited to convention	nal weapons such	as knives c	used to threaten physical or emotional safety (i.e. not or firearms). The mere presence of a weapon, whether aggest that coercion was employed.
Form)? Grooming social skills.		to engage i	exual incident (refer to the Grooming Behaviors in sexual harm and can be confused with underdeveloped
Note: grooming sug which may require		sexual inten	t and manipulation played a role in the sexual incident
	nts or others voice yes describe:	e a strong	visceral response regarding the sexual incident?
Note: a strong viscoverbalize. Further s			dividuals have a serious concern that is difficult to nmended.
C	THER CON	CERNS	(Note current or historical)
Planful	☐ No ☐ Yes		Inappropriate use of digital No Yes media?
Opportunistically Vigilant?	☐ No ☐ Yes		Impulsive? Remarkable
Enuretic/Encopretic	☐ No ☐ Yes		Harms Animals  No Yes
Lack of control of urination	n/involuntary defeca		
			ning Behavior
Suicidal Ideation?	∐ No ∐ Yes	Past / Present	Refer for Suicide Risk Assessment
Targeted Threat?	□ No □ Yes	Past / Present	Refer for Student Threat Assessment
Fire Misuse?	☐ No ☐ Yes	Past / Present	Refer for Fire Misuse Assessment

Other Concerns (Trauma, DHS involvement, multiple out of home placements, mental health concerns, health concerns, important historical factors, exposure to abuse/neglect, current mood, sleep routine, appetite, medication, familial history of sexual misconduct, gang involvement, other delinquent behaviors, etc.):
Strengths/Inhibitors (stabilizing or positive factors):

Sex	ual Be		<sup>r</sup> Continu	the se	xual in	cident	of cond	cern (c	heck all t d CONTI		a fact	or)
Public kissing/hugging	Peeping	Sexual talk/drawing/gesturing	Cyber Sexual Harassment (unlawful dissemination of intimate image)	Rubbing pubic area against object	Public masturbation	Exposing sexual parts	Over the clothes sexual touching	Rubbing pubic area against person	Under the clothes non-penetrative sexual touching	Penetrative sexual touching	Penetrative sex	

## STEP 4: DEVELOP A SUPERVISION PLAN TO ADDRESS CONCERNS (Including aggravating factors) IDENTIFIED THROUGH STEP 3.

In supervision planning, one should be mindful of the degree to which our strategies limit Access to Potential Targets and Opportunity, and consider the nature of the student's Intent.

	Perceived Intent:	
	<ul><li>☐ Engage in sexually concerning behavior</li><li>☐ Coping with feelings of distress</li><li>☐ Unknown</li></ul>	
	Other (Specify):	
	Target (mark all that apply):  Younger children (specify age):  Peers Compromised Peers (specify): Adults	☐ Males ☐ Females ☐ Other:
	Opportunities (mark all that apply):  Transitions/Lining-up Recess/Lunch/Assemblies Bathroom Technology use Bus Aftercare	Classroom Walking Home Technology Access/Online conduct Community Home Other:
	STEP 4	4 Continued
	RECOMMENDED INTERVENTIONS ( Bolded Items are typically included	•
2. 3.	Individual Options: ☐ Intended victim warned – parent/guardian notified (☐ Plan to Protect a Targeted Victim (see form) ☐ Protective Response initiated by Security Department Individual Accountability Plan	
		no sexual talk, stay in assigned area at all times, no contact
	_	aitei 3011001, etc. <i>j</i>
5.	Suicide Assessment initiated on	(use District Suicide Protocol) date
6.	☐ Threat Assessment initiated on	(use District Threat Assessment Protocol)
7.	Fire setter Assessment initiated on	date (use District Fire setter Assessment Protocol)
8.	<b>—</b>	auto

SIRC Program Protocols developed by John Van Dreal & Shelley Rutledge at Salem-Keizer Public Schools, and Wilson Kenney. THESE PROTOCOLS ARE ONLY FOR USE BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT HAVE STAFF TRAINED IN THE SIRC LEVEL 1 PROTOCOL ASSESSMENT PROCESS

<u>ochool options.</u>	
9. Bus Supervision, Specify: (e.g. assigned seat to self, in view of a camera, near the driver, etc)	
10 Depart to walk attudent into the office each marning and retrieve each offerneen	
10. Parent to walk student into the office each morning and retrieve each afternoon  11. Student Escorted from Transport to School Office, and from Classroom to Transport by:	
12. Student Escorted from School Office to Classroom and back by Adult, Specify:	
13. Line-of-Sight Supervision (Zone) *defined by an assigned area that is supervised by adults	
14. Arms-Reach Supervision (One-on-one)	
15. Supervised Lunch/Breaks/Recess/Assembly specify:	
16. Special Classroom Seating Assignment (to increase the ease of supervision and limit access to high traffic	c areas
e.g. pencil sharpener or classroom sink)	
17. No After-School Activities (at this time)	
18. Supervised After-School Activities (specify in a specific safety plan after meeting as a team to explore good	odness of
fit between activity, structure, supervision and the student's need for support)	
19. Academic Restrictions (e.g. student should not be involved in: childcare courses, mentoring youn	
students, volunteering in elementary programs or having a helper role in classrooms serving student	
significant intellectual or developmental disabilities, school jobs that lack structure/supervision or jo	
provide unsupervised access to areas of the building or any quasi-privilege, nor unfiltered access to technology)	
Specify:	
20. No Access to Technology (de-activate student log-in)	
21. Supervised Access to Technology (Academic Purposes Only)	
22. Eliminate access to media center unless directly supervised by faculty	
23. Intermittent checks of internet history	
24. Student to turn in phone and other personal electronic devices each morning and retrieve at dismissal	
25. Bathroom Plan, Specify: e.g. use of a single stall bathroom or staff to provide a bathroom sweep	
26. Social Work Services to assist family in navigation of community based services and resources	
27. Travel card and time accountability	
28. Social skills building programs	
29. Increase supervision in following settings in the following ways:	
30. Modifications of daily schedule late arrival / early dismissal	
31. ☐ Alert staff on need-to-know basis, ☐ All supervisory staff ☐ Administration Team only ☐ Law Enforcement ☐ Teacher Only ☐ T	oschor
and I.A. Security Specialists Counseling Team Office Staff Relevant Athletic Coac	
Administrator responsible for alerting staff and teachers:	illing Otali
32. Eliminate use of locker (hall/P.E./Athletic)	
33. Random Check of backpack, locker, pocket, purse, etc. by:	
Administrator CDS / Counselor Law Enforcement Coffice staff Other	
34. Assign identified staff to build trusting relationship through check-in or mentorship:	
Administrator	
35. Other interventions or supervision strategies that will likely decrease the possibility of a future sexual incident	lent
Describe:	
(NOTE: If student is on IEP/504 plan, any change in placement or Special Ed se	
must be done through Special Education Team process or 504 team process.)	
26 Deferred to School's Student Study Team	
36. ☐ Referral to School's Student Study Team 37. ☐ Tiered FBA/BIP	
38. Consider 504 Plan Eligibilities	
39. Referral to appropriate Special Ed. Team to consider Psycho Educational Evaluation / Special Education	
Assessment	
40. Referral to appropriate school team to consider alternative services or placement	
41. Home supervision pending further assessment	
42. Behavior Cadre Team Referral	
43. Behavior Consultant Team Referral	
44. SCIT Staffing	
45. Other:	

School Ontions:

SIRC Program Protocols developed by John Van Dreal & Shelley Rutledge at Salem-Keizer Public Schools, and Wilson Kenney.

THESE PROTOCOLS ARE ONLY FOR USE BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT HAVE STAFF TRAINED IN THE SIRC LEVEL 1 PROTOCOL

ASSESSMENT PROCESS

<ul> <li>46. Eliminate access to technology</li> <li>47. Actively engage in your child's online use (see handout Electronic Safety for Kids)</li> <li>48. Supervise Access to Developmentally Appropriate Technology</li> <li>49. Remove ALL social media access</li> <li>50. Monitor all social media use and remove access to applications that can't be monitored carefully (i.e. Snapchat)</li> <li>51. Remove message applications, including texting (when</li> <li>55. Provide detailed information regarding safety concerns to care providers when leaving child in care of others</li> <li>56. Increase supervision during highly stimulating or unstructured activities when other children are present (specify):</li> <li>57. Immediately report new concerns to school administrator or counselor</li> </ul>	Family / Home Options:	E4 Doving 9 purpus origin/montal books are in-
Encouraged to pursue:  63. Referral to YST 67. Juvenile Department 64. Family and Youth Crisis Services 68. Alcohol / Drug evaluation 65. Mentoring Programs 69. County Mental Health 66. Problematic Sexual Behavior / Interpersonal Boundaries programs  Other Options:    Problematic Sexual Behavior / Interpersonal Boundaries programs   Proplematic Sexual Behavior / Interpersonal Boundaries	<ul> <li>47. Actively engage in your child's online use (see handout Electronic Safety for Kids)</li> <li>48. Supervise Access to Developmentally Appropriate Technology</li> <li>49. Remove ALL social media access</li> <li>50. Monitor all social media use and remove access to applications that can't be monitored carefully (i.e. Snapchat)</li> <li>51. Remove message applications, including texting (when there is a pattern of concerning communications)</li> <li>52. Provide line-of-Sight Supervision **You should see and hear your child when they are in the presence of other children, including siblings (see handout Supervision Guidelines for Children with PSB)</li> <li>53. Consider resources to teach boundaries and body safety at home (see handouts Teaching Boundaries &amp; Teaching</li> </ul>	concerns to care providers when leaving child in care of others  56. Increase supervision during highly stimulating or unstructured activities when other children are present (specify):  57. Immediately report new concerns to school administrator or counselor  58. Eliminate sleepovers (friends or family members 59. Explore family counseling with a therapist who can assist in addressing problematic sexual behaviors  60. Carefully monitor for concerning/problematic sexual behaviors and grooming behaviors  61. Eliminate contact between students involved in sexual incident
	Encouraged to pursue:  63. Referral to YST  64. Family and Youth Crisis Services  65. Mentoring Programs  66. Problematic Sexual Behavior / Interpersonal Boundaries programs	68. ☐ Alcohol / Drug evaluation 69. ☐ County Mental Health
		nd revise as needed on:

## **CONSIDER REQUESTING A LEVEL 2 SEXUAL INCIDENT RESPONSE IF:**

- Your case involves an active or a pending criminal investigation for sexually related crimes in the community or in an education setting (immediacy if victim is a student in the same building).
- 2. You have knowledge that a student has been arrested, lodged in detention or is being processed through juvenile court for sexual misconduct related charges.
- 3. You have knowledge that a younger student (typically under 12) or a student with a significant developmental or cognitive impairment is under the jurisdiction of the court or child welfare or residing in a sexual misconduct treatment program because of an egregious sexual act against another child or an extensive pattern of sexual misconduct.
- 4. You have a Level 1 SIRC in place and yet despite *consistent* implementation of the plan, the student's sexual behavior *escalates AND* you are unable to successfully mitigate the behavior despite *extensive* effort and *strategic* intervention.

\*\* CALL to consult with SIRC coordinator if you are unable to reassure a parent who has ongoing concerns about their child being subjected to or the target of another student's problematic sexual behavior

STEP 5: After completion of the Level 1 Assessment, and if the Site Team has determined that a Level 2 Assessment is needed (based on the above criteria), immediately contact

Mark Summers at mark.summers@lblesd.k12.or.us to begin the process.

Please provide Dispatch with the information requested below so a complete Level 2 team can be assembled in a timely manner.

If a Level 2 Response is not requested, move to Step 6 to complete the protocol.

#### NOTE:

While awaiting the Level 2 Response, use the student supervision plan (Step 4) to manage the situation and document interim steps taken by Site Team.

## **INFORMATION NEEDED FOR DISPATCHING A LEVEL 2**

1.	Is student adjudicated?  Yes No If yes – Name of Probation Officer	Phone #:
2.	A Ward of the Court or other supervision?   Yes If yes – Name of Caseworker	
3.	Other agencies or individuals involved with the st included with the parent's permission?   If yes, is there signed consent for exchange of info If yes, please list agencies and individuals:	☐ <b>No</b> rmation? ☐ Yes ☐ No
		Phone #:

5. Is student in self-contained o	classroom? 🗌 Yes	No If yes, details:	
6. Was parent or guardian pres	sent at Level 1 Meet	ting: 🗌 Yes 🔲 No	
7. Are parents available to atte If yes, Building Team to invite p		s 🗌 No	
8. Other information Level 2 te	am will need for as	sessment:	
Sign sei		TEP 6: Jin supervision as planne	<b>7</b> 4
1. Sign the Protocol	na, me ana beg	in supervision as planne	,u.
	anila envelope mar demic or cumulativ	ked "Confidential Record" plac re file and <i>a second</i> copy in a w	
Team Signatures:			
Team Signatures:  Administrator, Supervisor	Date	Counselor	Date
_	Date	Counselor	Date
Administrator, Supervisor			

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CASE MANAGERS (ADMINISTRATORS)

- Familiarize yourself with the Level 1 information gained.
- Assign tasks and completion date expectations.
- Routinely check in with teachers, coaches, campus monitors, counselors, and parents for changes in behaviors, academics, attendance, or other concerns. Include both positive and negative behavior.
- Status checks should be completed as often as necessary until your level 1 team determines the level
  of risk has diminished.
- Document your updates and management steps through the process.
- If the student moves to another school or program, immediately notify the receiving school of the Level 1/Level 2 and management plan.
- Contact your district threat assessment consultant with any concerns or significant updates.

## **REVIEW NOTES**

Review Date:	
Notes:	
Review Date:	
Notes:	
Paviow Data	
Review Date: Notes:	
Review Date:	
Notes:	
Review Date: Notes:	
110100.	
Review Date:	
Review Date: Notes:	

SIRC Program Protocols developed by John Van Dreal & Shelley Rutledge at Salem-Keizer Public Schools, and Wilson Kenney.

THESE PROTOCOLS ARE ONLY FOR USE BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT HAVE STAFF TRAINED IN THE SIRC LEVEL 1 PROTOCOL

ASSESSMENT PROCESS